

MARK L. MOSLEY, SBN 136449
BRIAN GEARINGER, SBN 146125
STEPHEN F. HENRY, SBN 142336
MOSLEY & GEARINGER LLP
825 Van Ness Avenue, Fourth Floor
San Francisco, California 94109-7837
Telephone: (415) 440-3102
Facsimile: (415) 440-3103

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

JOHN A. RUSSO, City Attorney, SB #129729
RANDOLPH W. HALL, Chief Asst. City Attorney, SB #080142
RACHEL WAGNER, Supervising Trial Attorney, SB #127246
INÉS VARGAS FRAENKEL, Deputy City Attorney, SB #108113
One Frank H. Ogawa Plaza, 6th Floor
Oakland, California 94612
Telephone: (510) 238-6512
Facsimile: (510) 238-6500
Email: ivfraenkel@oaklandcityattorney.org
25255:413832

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS
CITY OF OAKLAND, TUCKER and POWELL

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ELIZABETH CLARK,)	Case No.: C06-06872 (CRB)
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	STIPULATION TO VACATE HEARING
vs.)	DATE AND TO SET CASE
)	MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE;
)	[PROPOSED] ORDER
THE CITY OF OAKLAND, a California)	
public entity, CHIEF WAYNE G. TUCKER,)	
in his individual and official capacities,)	
OFFICER MITCH POWELL, in his individual)	
and official capacities and DOES ONE)	
through FIFTY, inclusive,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

It is hereby STIPULATED by and between the parties, through their respective attorneys of record and pending Order of this Court, that the date set by this Court for hearing of defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment for September 21, 2007 be vacated pending the

1 parties settlement negotiations, and that the Court set a Case Management Conference in ninety
2 (90) days.

3
4 Good cause exists for vacating the hearing date as the parties are currently engaged in
5 settlement discussions which may obviate the need for defendants' motion. The parties went
6 through ADR and completed an ENE session on June 6, 2007. They have been diligently
7 pursuing discovery with the plaintiff propounding discovery and defendants' production of
8 hundreds of documents to date. At present, depositions of the plaintiff as well as those of
9 defendants and City personnel, which are necessary for making and opposing the stated motion,
10 have been noticed but will be stayed if the Court issues an order to vacate the hearing date, to
11 enable further settlement negotiations.

12 The parties agree that they need additional time to exhaust attempts at resolution of the
13 case through informal means at this time, and that this stipulation and request for an Order from
14 the Court to vacate the pending hearing date is made in the spirit of cooperation and of
15 conserving attorney as well as judicial resources. Should the negotiations fail, the parties will
16 resume the taking of depositions and defendants will file their Motion for Summary Judgment
17 as previously planned.

18 To this end, the parties request that the Court continue the matter for a Case
19 Management Conference in ninety (90) days.

20 Dated: August 7, 2007

MOSLEY & GEARINGER
STEPHEN F. HENRY, ESQ.

21
22
23 By: /s/ Stephen Henry
Stephen F. Henry
24 Attorneys for Plaintiff

25 Dated: August 7, 2007

OAKLAND CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

26
27 By: /s/ Inés Vargas Fraenkel
Inés Vargas Fraenkel
28 Attorneys for Defendants

ORDER

Pursuant to stipulation of the parties and good cause appearing therefore, it is hereby ordered that the hearing date for Defendants Motion for Summary Judgment of September 21, 2007, is hereby vacated. A Case Management Conference shall take place on Nov. 09, 2007, at 8:30 a.m. The parties shall file a Joint Case Management Conference Statement by Nov. 02, 2007.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 8, 2007

CHARLES R. BREYER
U.S. Northern District Court Judge

